7090 errors, four BESK errors (2957, 2969, 3049 and 3109), and an incorrect SWAC result for 1889. The SWAC (October 1962) confirmed the 7090 residue.
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# Lucas' Test for Mersenne Numbers, $6000<p<7000$ 

By Sidney Kravitz and Murray Berg

Alexander Hurwitz [1] reported that he had applied Lucas' test to investigate the primality of the Mersenne Numbers $M_{p}=2^{p}-1, p$ a prime, $3300<p<5000$, and discovered that $M_{4253}$ and $M_{4423}$ are prime numbers. Hurwitz [2] further states $\dagger$ that he tested all prime exponents between 5000 and 6000 , where the corresponding $M_{p}$ was not known to have a factor, without discovering any new Mersenne Primes.

Table

| $p$ | $R$ | $p$ | $R$ | $p$ | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6007 | 07707 | 6247 | 00472 | 6659 | $75241^{*}$ |
| 6037 | 21420 | 6257 | 36710 | 6661 | 27165 |
| 6043 | 21605 | 6269 | 57356 | 6679 | 13275 |
| 6047 | 37000 | 6299 | $71037^{*}$ | 6701 | 07636 |
| 6053 | 53471 | 6329 | $25136^{*}$ | 6709 | 05700 |
| 6073 | 41646 | 6337 | $21676^{*}$ | 6733 | 35544 |
| 6079 | 15712 | 6359 | 51351 | 6763 | 01753 |
| 6089 | 32615 | 6361 | $10027^{*}$ | 6779 | $74306^{*}$ |
| 6091 | 02043 | 6451 | 23476 | 6791 | 41143 |
| 6133 | 42630 | 6469 | 51252 | 6823 | $14573^{*}$ |
| 6151 | 63451 | 6547 | 06546 | 6833 | 26431 |
| 6211 | 71252 | 6571 | 67142 | 6857 | 63102 |
| 6217 | 07377 | 6577 | $45051^{*}$ | 6907 | $46461^{*}$ |
| 6221 | 24166 | 6581 | $74210^{*}$ | 6911 | 63345 |
| 6229 | 06517 | 6599 | 77554 | 6971 | 65345 |
|  |  |  |  | 6991 | 50365 |

The authors have tested the Mersenne Numbers $6000<p<7000$ without finding any new primes. A list of the five least significant octal digits of the $S_{p-1}$ th remainder from the Lucas test ( $S_{1}=4$ ) is given in the Table. Where a prime is missing from the list it indicates that a factor of the corresponding Mersenne Number was found by Riesel [3, 4] or that an unpublished $\dagger$ factor was found by

[^0]John Brillhart. At the time of completion of these results we learned of similar work $\dagger$ by Donald B. Gillies on Illiac II. We compared our residues with his and found ten discrepancies. A check revealed that one of our three supposedly identical program decks contained an error. The questionable residues were recalculated and found to agree with Dr. Gillies' values. These residues are marked by an asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ).

The authors have verified that Riesel's $M_{3217}$ and Hurwitz's $M_{4253}$ and $M_{4423}$ are prime. Hurwitz's octal remainder [1] of 72013 for the prime exponent 3301 was also verified. The running time for $p$ near 6500 was three hours, using an IBM 7090.
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## Note on the Congruence $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$.

## By Hans Riesel

During 1961 the author with the aid of the electronic computer BESK gathered some data concerning the residues

$$
a^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

for odd primes $p$, from which the following tables have been compiled. In the case of $p<1000$, the residues of $a^{p-1}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ are available for comparison. For larger $p$ the program has printed out only those residues $\equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. The running time on BESK was put at the author's disposal by courtesy of the Swedish Board for Computing Machinery.

Mabarsstigen 2
Stockholm-Vallingby, Sweden

$$
\text { All odd primes } p<500000 \text { with } a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \text { for } a \leqq 10
$$

| $a$ | $p$ | $a$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | 1093,3511 | 6 | 66161 |
| 3 | 11 | 7 | 5,491531 |
| 4 | 1093,3511 | 8 | $3,1093,3511$ |
| 5 | 20771,40487 | 9 | 11 |
|  |  | 10 | 3,487 |


[^0]:    Received February 6, 1963. Revised April 26, 1963.
    $\dagger$ See pages 146, 87, and 93 of this issue of Mathematics of Computation.

